

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3-13, and 15-22 are presented for consideration. Claims 2 and 14 have been canceled.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 2-4, 14-16 and 19-22 are directed to allowable subject matter.

Claim Rejections: 35 USC 112

Claims 1-22 were rejected as having improper antecedent basis for the terms "top side", "panel one", "panel two", "panel three", "panel four", and "panel five". Appropriate correction has been made. In view of these corrections, claim 19 is believed to be in condition for allowance, and claims 20-22 which depend from claim 19 are also believed to be in condition for allowance based on these corrections as well.

Claim Rejections: 35 USC 102

Claims 9, 17 and 18 were rejected as being anticipated by Sorenson. Claim 9 has been amended to include the indicated allowable subject matter of claim 14. Accordingly, claim 9 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 10-13 and 15-18, which depend from claim 9 are also believed to be in condition for allowance for the above reason.

Claim Rejections: 35 USC 103

Claims 1 and 5-8 were rejected as being unpatentable over Sorenson in view of McFarland. Claim 1 has been amended to include the indicated allowable subject

matter of claim 2. Accordingly, claim 1 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 3-8, which depend from claim 1 are also believed to be in condition for allowance for the above reason.

Claims 1, 3-13, 15-22 have been amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 102 and 112 and applicant believes the case is ready for issuance as there are no other outstanding matters. Thus, applicant respectfully requests passing of the case to issuance in due course of Patent Office procedure.

Respectfully submitted,



Seann P. Lahey
Registration No. 51,910
McNair Law Firm, P.A.
P.O. Box 10827
Greenville, SC 29603-0827
Telephone: (864) 232-4261
Attorney for the Applicant